

# Digital Diabetes Prevention Program Reduces Medical Costs in the First 2 Years of Implementation in a Workplace Setting

# Background

- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed the National Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), which can cost-effectively reduce body weight and incidence of diabetes.<sup>1</sup>
- Digital versions of the DPP (dDPP) involve online lessons for healthy lifestyle
  modifications and tools for tracking individual health goals. When implemented in a
  workplace setting, they reduce risk factors for diabetes and cardiovascular disease.<sup>2</sup>
- However, the cost-effectiveness of dDPPs has not been fully assessed.
- Objective: Investigators examined the effect of a dDPP on healthcare costs.

## Methods

- A retrospective analysis was conducted using deidentified claims data of employees and spouses at Quest Diagnostics who met the following study inclusion criteria:
  - Had prediabetes (fasting blood glucose level of 100-125 mg/dL or an HbA1c of 5.7%-6.4%) and BMI ≥25 kg/m², based on an employer-sponsored health assessment during September to December 2017
  - Were enrolled continuously in a health plan from September 2016 to December 2019
- The intervention group included employees or spouses who completed at least 1 digital lesson of the dDPP (offered by Omada Health) during 2018.
- The control group included employees or spouses who met study inclusion criteria
  and did not participate in dDPP; they were matched to dDPP participants by baseline
  age, sex, race, region of residence, comorbidities, health plan type, medical costs,
  and pharmacy costs.
- Healthcare costs after dDPP implementation (2017-2019) were compared to those before dDPP implementation (2016-2017); dDPP costs were not included.

## Results

- Of 3,098 employees and spouses who met the study inclusion criteria, 432 were included in the intervention group and 856 were included in the control group.
- For the control group (non-dDPP participants), healthcare costs were higher per member per month (PMPM) in 2017-2019 than in 2016-2017: \$696 vs \$558 (P<0.001).</li>
  - Inpatient (\$124 vs \$64; P=0.01) and pharmacy (\$183 vs \$155; P=0.02) costs were higher in 2017-2019, but outpatient (\$199 vs \$161; P=0.06) and professional services (\$190 vs \$171; P=0.30) costs did not differ in 2017-2019 and 2016-2017.
- For the intervention group (dDPP participants), average healthcare costs PMPM in 2017-2019 (after intervention) did not significantly differ from those in 2016-2017 (before intervention): \$540 vs \$543 (P=0.96).
- Because costs of the control group increased while those of the intervention group stayed the same, the total costs were \$141 lower PMPM for the intervention group than the control group (P=0.02).

# Conclusions

- Two years after offering a dDPP, healthcare costs did not change among program
  participants, but costs increased among those who did not participate.
- These data indicate that a dDPP can save healthcare costs.

# ePoster presentation at the American Heart Association Online Scientific Sessions

#### **Authors**

Charles E Birse, 1 Olga A lakoubova, 1 Andre R Arellano, 1 Maren S Fragala, 1 Lance A Bare, 1 Cynthia M Castro Sweet, 2 Robert J Lagier 1

#### **Affiliations**

Quest Diagnostics, San Juan
 Capistrano, CA USA
 Omada Health, San Francisco, CA
 USA

American Heart Association Online Scientific Sessions Date: November 13-17, 2020

# Webpage

https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.11 61/circ.142.suppl 3.13006

## References

- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The 10-year costeffectiveness of lifestyle intervention or metformin for diabetes prevention. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(4):723-730.
- Birse CE, Shiffman D, Satish A, et al. Impact of a digital diabetes prevention program on risk factors for chronic disease in a workforce cohort. J Occup Environ Med. October 2020. In press.